

## A THEOREM ON RIESZ SUMMABILITY

D. BORWEIN\*.

*[Extracted from the Journal of the London Mathematical Society, Vol. 31, 1956.]*

1. Suppose throughout that  $a$  is positive and, unless otherwise stated, that  $\kappa$  is a positive integer. Suppose further that the functions  $\phi(w)$ ,

---

\* Received 18 July, 1955; read 17 November, 1955.

$\psi(w)$  are defined in  $[0, \infty)$ , that  $\phi(w)$  is non-negative and unboundedly increasing in this range and that both functions have absolutely continuous  $\kappa$ -th derivatives in every interval  $[a, W]$ .

I shall be concerned with obtaining sufficient conditions to ensure the truth of the proposition

P.  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \psi(\lambda_n)$  is summable  $(R, \phi(\lambda_n), \kappa)$  whenever  $(\lambda_n)$  is an unboundedly increasing sequence of positive numbers and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is summable  $(R, \lambda_n, \kappa)$ .

The following theorems are known.

T<sub>1</sub>. If  $\phi(w) = e^w$ ,  $\psi(w) = w^{-\kappa}$ , then P.

T<sub>2</sub>. If (i)  $\psi(w) = 1$ , (ii)  $\int_a^w t^n |\phi^{(n+1)}(t)| dt = O\{\phi(w)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa$ ;  $w \geq a$ ), then P.

T<sub>3</sub>. If (i)  $\phi(w) = w$ , (ii)  $\psi(w) = O(1)$  ( $w \geq a$ ),  $\int_a^{\infty} t^{\kappa} |\psi^{(\kappa+1)}(t)| dt < \infty$ , then P.

T<sub>4</sub>. If  $\phi(w)$  is an  $L$ -function\* such that  $w\phi'(w)/\phi(w) \geq 1$  and  $\psi(w) = \{w\phi'(w)/\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa}$ , then P.

T<sub>1</sub> (for all  $\kappa \geq 0$ ) is due to Hardy and Riesz [5], T<sub>2</sub> to Hirst† [8] and T<sub>3</sub> essentially to Hardy‡ [4]. T<sub>4</sub> (for all  $\kappa \geq 0$ ) has recently been obtained by Guha§; it includes T<sub>1</sub> as a special case. Kuttner|| [9] has proved that T<sub>2</sub> (i) and P imply T<sub>2</sub> (ii). He also showed that the truth of T<sub>2</sub> (ii) for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa - 1$  ( $\kappa \geq 2$ ) is a consequence of its truth for  $n = \kappa$ . I have proved [1] that T<sub>3</sub> (i) and P imply T<sub>3</sub> (ii)¶.

I shall prove the following theorem.

T. If (i)  $\gamma(w)$  is positive and absolutely continuous in every interval  $[a, W]$  and  $\gamma'(w) = O(1)$  for  $w \geq a$ ,

(ii)  $w^n \psi^{(n)}(w) = O\{(\gamma(w)/w)^{\kappa-n}\}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots, \kappa$ ;  $w \geq a$ ),

(iii)  $\int_a^{\infty} t^{\kappa} |\psi^{(\kappa+1)}(t)| dt < \infty$ ,

(iv)  $\int_a^w \{\gamma(t)\}^n |\phi^{(n+1)}(t)| dt = O\{\phi(w)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa$ ;  $w \geq a$ ),

then P.

\* For the definition and properties of  $L$ -functions (logarithmico-exponential functions) see [6].

† He also obtains a version of T<sub>2</sub> involving fractional values of  $\kappa$ .

‡ Hardy's result has been extended to fractional orders of summability by Cossar [3]. See also Theorem V in [2].

§ "Convergence factors for Riesz summability". [This *Journal*, 31 (1956), 311-319 (preceding paper).]

|| See also his paper [10].

¶ See also [11].

It is evident that T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> are special cases of T, and T<sub>3</sub> can immediately be derived from T and the known result (see [3]) that T (ii) with  $\gamma(w) = w$  is a consequence of T<sub>3</sub> (ii). Further, it can readily be shown that the hypotheses of T are satisfied when  $\phi, \psi$  are as in T<sub>4</sub> and  $\gamma(w) = \phi(w)/\phi'(w)$ .

2. Some lemmas are required.

LEMMA\* 1. When  $m, n$  are positive integers, the  $n$ -th derivative of  $\{g(t)\}^m$  is the sum of a number of terms of the form

$$A \{g(t)\}^{m-r} \prod_{s=1}^p \{g^{(s)}(t)\}^{c_s} \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

where  $A$  is a constant, the  $c$ 's are non-negative integers and

$$1 \leq p \leq n, \quad c_p \geq 1, \quad \sum_{s=1}^p c_s = r, \quad \sum_{s=1}^p s c_s = n.$$

The proof is elementary.

LEMMA 2. If T (i) and T (iv), then

$$\{\gamma(w)\}^n \phi^{(n)}(w) = O\{\phi(w)\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa; w \geq a).$$

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa, w \geq a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma(w)\}^n \phi^{(n)}(w) &= \{\gamma(a)\}^n \phi^{(n)}(a) + \int_a^w \{\gamma(t)\}^n \phi^{(n+1)}(t) dt \\ &\quad + n \int_a^w \gamma'(t) \{\gamma(t)\}^{n-1} \phi^{(n)}(t) dt \\ &= O\{\phi(w)\}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\gamma'(t) = O(1)$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $\overline{\lim}_{w \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{\infty} |f(w, t)| dt < \infty$  and  $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^y |f(w, t)| dt = 0$ , for every finite  $y > a$ , and if  $s(t)$  is a bounded measurable function in  $(a, \infty)$  which tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{\infty} f(w, t) s(t) dt = 0.$$

The proof of this result† has been given by Hardy ([7], 50). Because of its brevity it is reproduced here.

\* This is Lemma A in [8]. A more general result due to Faa di Bruno is given in de la Vallée Poussin's "Cours d'analyse infinitésimale", I, pp. 89-90 in ed. 7.

† Cf. Theorem VI in [2].

For  $y > a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{w \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_a^\infty f(w, t) s(t) dt \right| &\leq \overline{\lim}_{w \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^y |f(w, t) s(t)| dt + \overline{\lim}_{w \rightarrow \infty} \int_y^\infty |f(w, t) s(t)| dt \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{t \geq y} |s(t)| \cdot \overline{\lim}_{w \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^\infty |f(w, t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since the final expression tends to zero as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , the result follows.

3. *Proof of T.* Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be an unboundedly increasing sequence of positive numbers. Write, for  $w \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A(w) &= \sum_{\lambda_n \leq w} a_n, \quad A_m(w) = \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^w (w-t)^m dA(t) \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots), \\ F(w) &= w^{-\kappa} \sum_{\phi(\lambda_n) \leq w} \{w - \phi(\lambda_n)\}^\kappa \psi(\lambda_n) a_n, \quad G(w) = F\{\phi(w)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have now to prove that if

( $\alpha$ )'  $w^{-\kappa} A_\kappa(w)$  tends to a finite limit as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ ,

then

( $\beta$ )'  $F(w)$  tends to a finite limit as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ .

There is clearly no loss in generality if we prove this result with ( $\alpha$ )' replaced by

( $\alpha$ )  $A(w) = 0$  for  $0 \leq w \leq a$  and  $w^{-\kappa} A_\kappa(w) \rightarrow 0$  as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose therefore that ( $\alpha$ ) holds and note that ( $\beta$ )' is equivalent to

( $\beta$ )  $G(w)$  tends to a finite limit as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, for  $w \geq a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G(w) &= \{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} \sum_{\phi(\lambda_n) \leq \phi(w)} \{\phi(w) - \phi(\lambda_n)\}^\kappa \psi(\lambda_n) a_n \\ &= \{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} \int_a^w \{\phi(w) - \phi(t)\}^\kappa \psi(t) dA(t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrate  $(\kappa+1)$  times by parts and use ( $\alpha$ ), T (ii) ( $n=0$ ) and Lemma 2 ( $n=1$ ) to get

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^\kappa G(w) + \{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} \int_a^w A_\kappa(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\kappa+1} (\{\phi(w) - \phi(t)\}^\kappa \psi(t)) dt \\ = \{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} A_\kappa(w) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^\kappa (\{\phi(w) - \phi(t)\}^\kappa \psi(t))_{t=w} \\ = (-1)^\kappa \kappa! \{\phi'(w)/\phi(w)\}^\kappa \psi(w) A_\kappa(w) \\ = o(1) \text{ as } w \rightarrow \infty; \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and observe that, for  $w > t > a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\kappa+1} (\{\phi(w) - \phi(t)\}^\kappa \psi(t)) \\ = \left\{1 - \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi(w)}\right\}^\kappa \psi^{(\kappa+1)}(t) \\ + \{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} \sum_{n=1}^{\kappa+1} \binom{\kappa+1}{n} \psi^{(\kappa+1-n)}(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^n \{\phi(w) - \phi(t)\}^\kappa. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In virtue of T (iii) and ( $\alpha$ ),  $\int_a^\infty |\psi^{(\kappa+1)}(t) A_\kappa(t)| dt < \infty$ , and hence, by Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence,

$$\int_a^w \left\{1 - \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi(w)}\right\}^\kappa \psi^{(\kappa+1)}(t) A_\kappa(t) dt \rightarrow \int_a^\infty \psi^{(\kappa+1)}(t) A_\kappa(t) dt \text{ as } w \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3}$$

Further, by Lemma 1,

$$\{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} t^\kappa \psi^{(\kappa+1-n)}(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^n \{\phi(w) - \phi(t)\}^\kappa \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa+1; w > t > a)$$

is a linear combination of terms like

$$f(w, t) = \{\phi(w)\}^{-\kappa} t^\kappa \psi^{(\kappa+1-n)}(t) \{\phi(w) - \phi(t)\}^{\kappa-r} \prod_{s=1}^p \{\phi^{(s)}(t)\}^{c_s}, \tag{4}$$

where the  $c$ 's are non-negative integers and  $1 \leq r \leq \kappa$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $c_p \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{s=1}^p c_s = r, \quad \sum_{s=1}^p s c_s = n.$$

Since  $c_p = 1$  if  $p = \kappa+1$ , we use T (ii) and Lemma 2 to deduce that there are constants  $M, N$  such that, for  $w > t > a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(w, t)| &< M \{\phi(w)\}^{-r} t^\kappa |\psi^{(\kappa+1-n)}(t) \phi^{(p)}(t)| \{\phi(t)\}^{-1} \{\gamma(t)\}^p \prod_{s=1}^p (\{\gamma(t)\}^{-s} \phi(t))^{c_s} \\ &= M \{\phi(w)\}^{-r} \{\phi(t)\}^{r-1} t^\kappa |\psi^{(\kappa+1-n)}(t)| \{\gamma(t)\}^{1-n} \{\gamma(t)\}^{p-1} |\phi^{(p)}(t)| \\ &< \frac{N}{\phi(w)} \{\gamma(t)\}^{p-1} |\phi^{(p)}(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for fixed  $y > a$ ,

$$\int_a^y |f(w, t)| dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } w \rightarrow \infty,$$

and, by T (iv),

$$\int_a^w |f(w, t)| dt = O(1) \text{ for } w \geq a.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 3,

$$\int_a^w f(w, t) t^{-\kappa} A_{\kappa}(t) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } w \rightarrow \infty,$$

and this, together with (1), (2), (3) and (4) enables us to deduce ( $\beta$ ) as required.

#### References.

1. D. Borwein, "A summability factor theorem", *Journal London Math. Soc.*, 25 (1950), 302-315.
2. L. S. Bosanquet, "The summability of Laplace-Stieltjes integrals", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 3 (1933), 267-304.
3. J. Cossar, "A theorem on Cesàro summability", *Journal London Math. Soc.*, 16 (1941), 56-68.
4. G. H. Hardy, "Notes on some points in the integral calculus (XXX)", *Messenger of Math.*, 40 (1911), 108-112.
5. ——— and M. Riesz, *The General Theory of Dirichlet's Series* (Cambridge Tract No. 18, 1915).
6. ———, *Orders of Infinity* (Cambridge Tract No. 12, 2nd Edn., 1924).
7. ———, *Divergent Series* (Oxford, 1949).
8. K. A. Hirst, "On the second theorem of consistency in the theory of summation by typical means", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 33 (1932), 353-366.
9. B. Kuttner, "Note on the second theorem of consistency for Riesz summability", *Journal London Math. Soc.*, 26 (1951), 104-111.
10. ———, "On the second theorem of consistency for Riesz summability (II)", *Journal London Math. Soc.*, 27 (1952), 207-217.
11. W. L. C. Sargent, "On the summability of infinite integrals", *Journal London Math. Soc.*, 27 (1952), 401-413.

St. Salvator's College,  
St. Andrews.