THE HAUSDORFF MOMENT PROBLEM

DAVID BORWEIN

1. Introduction. Suppose throughout that

$$0 \le \lambda_0 < \cdots < \lambda_n, \quad \lambda_n \to \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} = \infty,$$

and that $\{\mu_n\}$ $(n \ge 0)$ is a sequence of real numbers. The (generalized) Hausdorff moment problem is to determine necessary and sufficient conditions for there to be a function x in some specified class satisfying

Let
$$(1+\lambda_0)d_0$$
 $(1+\lambda_1)d_n$

$$D_0 = d_0 = 1, \quad D_n = \frac{d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_n}{d_n} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right).$$

Define the divided difference $[\mu_k, \ldots, \mu_n]$ inductively by $[\mu_k] = \mu_k$,

$$[\mu_k, \dots, \mu_n] = \frac{[\mu_k, \dots, \mu_{n-1}] - [\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_n]}{\lambda_n - \lambda_k} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le k < n.$$

For $0 \le k \le n$, $0 \le t \le 1$, let

$$\lambda_{nk} = \lambda_{k+1} \cdots \lambda_n [\mu_k, \dots, \mu_n],$$

$$\lambda_{nk}(t) = \lambda_{k+1} \cdots \lambda_n [t^{\lambda_k}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}]$$

with the convention that products such as $\lambda_{k+1} \cdots \lambda_n = 1$ when k = n. Let

$$M_{pn} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} |\lambda_{nk}|^{p} \left(\frac{D_{n}}{d_{k}}\right)^{p-1}\right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |\lambda_{nk}| \frac{D_{n}}{d_{k}} & \text{if } p = \infty; \end{cases}$$

$$M_{p} = \sup M_{pn}.$$

Received by the editors March 29, 1978.

This paper is one of a series of survey papers written at the invitation of the Editors of the Canadian Mathematical Bulletin.

This research was supported in part by the National Research Council of Canada, Grant A-2983.

Let C be the normed linear space of functions x continuous on [0, 1] with norm $||x||_C = \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |x(t)|$. Let BV be the space of functions of bounded variation on [0, 1]. A function $x \in BV$ is said to be normalized if x(0) = 0 and 2x(t) = x(t+) + x(t-) for 0 < t < 1. For $p \ge 1$, let L_p be the normed linear space of measurable functions x on (0, 1) with finite norm $||x||_p$ where

$$||x||_p = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} & \text{when } 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \text{ess. sup } |x(t)| & \text{when } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is known that $M_1 < \infty$ if and only if there is a function $\alpha \in BV$ satisfying

(1)
$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\alpha(t) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The case $\lambda_0 = 0$ of this result was established by Hausdorff [5], [6] and Schoenberg [12] subsequently gave a different proof. The case $\lambda_0 > 0$ was proved by Leviatan [9] (see also Endl [4]).

It can be deduced from theorems of Leviatan [9, Theorem 2.3; 10, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2] (see also Berman [3]) and identity (5) (below) that, for $1 , <math>M_p < \infty$ if and only if there is a function $\beta \in L_p$ satisfying

(2)
$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} \beta(t) dt \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The case $\lambda_n = n$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ... of this result is due to Hausdorff [7]. In this case we have that for $0 \le k \le n$, $0 \le t \le 1$,

$$\lambda_{nk}(t) = \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k}, \qquad \lambda_{nk} = \binom{n}{k} \Delta^{n-k} \mu_k$$

where $\Delta^{0}\mu_{k} = \mu_{k}$, $\Delta^{n}\mu_{k} = \Delta^{n-1}\mu_{k} - \Delta^{n-1}\mu_{k+1}$.

In this paper we give new and reasonably self-contained proofs of the above results. Our proofs involve functional analysis and differ radically from those of the above-mentioned authors. Unlike previous proofs, ours do not treat the cases $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ separately.

In addition, we show that if (1) holds with α normalized, then $M_1 = \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)|$ when $\lambda_0 = 0$, and $M_1 = \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)| - |\alpha(0+)|$ when $\lambda_0 > 0$. We also show that if (2) holds for $1 , then <math>M_p = ||\beta||_p$. Finally, we show that M_{pn} increases with n and hence that $M_p = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{pn}$ for $1 \le p \le \infty$. The cases $\lambda_n = n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ of these results are derived in a book by Shohat and Tamarkin [13, pp. 97–101]. This book, incidentally, gives an excellent and extensive review of the classical moment problem. Another good reference book on the subject is one by Akhiezer [1].

2. **Preliminary results.** The following simple identities and inequalities are known:

(3)
$$\mu_s = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{nk} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_{k+1}} \right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_n} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le s \le n.$$
 [6, (5)]

(4)
$$0 \le \lambda_{ns}(t) \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{nk}(t) \le 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \qquad 0 \le s \le n.$$

[10, Lemma 1]

(5)
$$\int_0^1 \lambda_{nk}(t) dt = \frac{d_k}{D_n} \text{ for } 0 \le k \le n.$$
 [6, p. 294]

We require some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If $M_1 < \infty$, then

$$\mu_s = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{nk} \left(\frac{D_k}{D_n} \right)^{\lambda_s} \quad \text{for} \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$, $u_n = e^{-\lambda/\lambda_n}$,

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{nk} u_{k+1} \cdots u_n,$$

and let

19787

$$\psi_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{nk} v_{k+1} \cdots v_n$$

where $v_n = e^{-\gamma_n/\lambda_n}$ for sufficiently large n and $\gamma_n \to \lambda$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$. Then, for $\delta > 0$, $|\gamma - \lambda| < \varepsilon$, we have that

$$|e^{-\delta\lambda} - e^{-\delta\gamma}| \le \delta |\gamma - \lambda| e^{-\delta(\lambda - \varepsilon)} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda - \varepsilon}.$$

Choose a positive integer N so large that $|\gamma_n - \lambda| < \varepsilon$ for n > N. Then, for n > N, we have that

$$|\psi_n - \phi_n(\lambda)| \leq M_1 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |v_{k+1} \cdot \cdot \cdot v_n - u_{k+1} \cdot \cdot \cdot u_n| + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda - \varepsilon} \sum_{k=N}^n |\lambda_{nk}|.$$

Since $u_n \to 0$ and $v_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |\psi_n - \phi_n(\lambda)| \leq \frac{M_1\varepsilon}{\lambda - \varepsilon},$$

and hence that

$$\lim (\psi_n - \phi_n(\lambda)) = 0.$$

September

19787

Note that when $v_n = 1 - \lambda_s/\lambda_n$, then, by (3), the corresponding $\psi_n = \mu_s$ for $n \ge s$. Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi_n(\lambda_s)=\mu_s.$$

The desired conclusion is now obtained by considering the ψ_n corresponding to

$$v_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right)^{-\lambda_s}.$$

LEMMA 2.

(i) If (1) is satisfied by a function $\alpha \in BV$, then $M_1 \leq \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)|$.

(ii) If $1 and (2) is satisfied by a function <math>\beta \in L_p$, then $M_p \le ||\beta||_p$.

Proof. Part (i). We have that

$$\lambda_{nk} = \int_0^1 \lambda_{nk}(t) \ d\alpha(t) \text{ for } 0 \le k \le n,$$

and thus, by (4), that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |\lambda_{nk}| \le \int_{0}^{1} |d\alpha(t)| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{nk}(t) \le \int_{0}^{1} |d\alpha(t)|.$$

Hence

$$M_1 \leq \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)|.$$

Part (ii). We now have that

$$\lambda_{nk} = \int_0^1 \lambda_{nk}(t) \beta(t) dt$$
 for $0 \le k \le n$.

Hence, by (5),

$$|\lambda_{nk}| \leq \int_0^1 \lambda_{nk}(t) |\beta(t)| dt \leq \frac{d_k}{D_n} \underset{0 < t < 1}{\text{ess. sup}} |\beta(t)|.$$

Next, if 1 , then, by Hölder's inequality and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{nk}|^p &\leq \int_0^1 \lambda_{nk}(t) \, |\beta(t)|^p \, dt \bigg(\int_0^1 \lambda_{nk}(t) \, dt \bigg)^{p-1} \\ &= \bigg(\frac{d_k}{D_n} \bigg)^{p-1} \int_0^1 \lambda_{nk}(t) \, |\beta(t)|^p \, dt; \end{aligned}$$

and so, by (4),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |\lambda_{nk}|^{p} \left(\frac{D_{n}}{d_{k}}\right)^{p-1} \leq \int_{0}^{1} |\beta(t)|^{p} dt \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{nk}(t) \leq \int_{0}^{1} |\beta(t)|^{p} dt.$$

Consequently, if $1 , then <math>M_p \le ||\beta||_p$.

LEMMA 3. If a normalized function $x \in BV$ is such that

$$\int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} \, dx(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

THE HAUSDORFF MOMENT PROBLEM

261

then x(t) = x(0+) for $0 < t \le 1$. If, in addition, $\lambda_0 = 0$, then x(0+) = 0.

Proof. Suppose first that $\lambda_0 = 0$. A known consequence of the hypothesis [11, p. 337] is that

$$\int_0^1 t^n \, dx(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, by a standard result [14, Theorem 6.1], x(t) = 0 for $0 \le t \le 1$. Suppose next that $\lambda_0 > 0$. Then, by hypothesis.

$$\int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} \, dy(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $y(t) = \int_0^t u^{\lambda_0} dx(u)$. Since y is normalized [14, Theorem 8b], we have, by the part already proved, that y(t) = 0 for $0 \le t \le 1$. Let $0 < \varepsilon \le t \le 1$. Then

$$0 = \int_{\varepsilon}^{t} u^{\lambda_0} dx(u) = t^{\lambda_0} x(t) - \varepsilon^{\lambda_0} x(\varepsilon) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{t} u^{\lambda_0 - 1} x(u) du$$

and so x is absolutely continuous in $[\varepsilon, 1]$. Therefore $0 = \int_0^t u^{\lambda_0} x'(u) du$ and consequently x'(u) = 0 a.e. in $(\varepsilon, 1)$. It follows that $x(t) = x(\varepsilon)$ for $0 < \varepsilon \le t \le 1$, and hence that x(t) = x(0+) for $0 < t \le 1$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

3. The main results. The proofs of both parts of the following theorem are based on proofs in Shohat and Tamarkin's book [13, pp. 99-101] of the case $\lambda_n = n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Hildebrandt [8] originally proved this case of part (i) by a similar method.

THEOREM 1.

- (i) If $M_1 < \infty$, then there is a normalized function $\alpha \in BV$ such that (1) is satisfied and $\int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)| \leq M_1$.
- (ii) If $1 and <math>M_p < \infty$, then there is a function $\beta \in L_p$ such that (2) is satisfied and $\|\beta\|_p \leq M_p$.

Proof. Define Λ to be the linear space of functions P such that

(6)
$$P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k t^{\lambda_k} \text{ for } 0 \le t \le 1,$$

where m is an arbitrary non-negative integer and a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_m are real

[September

constants. Define the moment operator μ on Λ by setting

$$\mu(P) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k \mu_k$$

when P is given by (6).

Suppose that $M_p < \infty$ where $1 \le p \le \infty$. Let $P \in \Lambda$ and let $B_n \in \Lambda$ be given by

$$B_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{nk}(t) P\left(\frac{D_k}{D_n}\right) \text{ for } o \le t \le 1.$$

Then

(7)
$$\mu(B_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{nk} P\left(\frac{D_k}{D_n}\right),$$

and hence, by Lemma 1,

(8)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(B_n)=\mu(P),$$

since, by Hölder's inequality, $M_1 \leq M_p$.

Part (i). It follows from (7) that

$$|\mu(B_n)| \leq M_1 ||P||_C$$

and hence, by (8), that

$$|\mu(P)| \leq M_1 ||P||_C$$

Thus μ is a bounded linear functional on a linear subspace of C. Hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem [11, Theorem 5.16] and the Riesz representation theorem for bounded linear functionals on C [2, p. 61], there is a normalized function $\alpha \in BV$ such that, for every $P \in \Lambda$,

$$\mu(P) = \int_0^1 P(t) d\alpha(t)$$
 and $\int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)| \leq M_1$.

In particular, taking $P(t) = t^{\lambda_n}$, we get that

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\alpha(t) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Part (ii). Let (1/p)+(1/q)=1 where 1 . Applying Hölder's inequality to (7) we get that

$$|\mu(B_n)| \leq M_p \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{d_k}{D_n} \left| P\left(\frac{D_k}{D_n}\right) \right|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Since

$$\max_{0 \le k \le n} \frac{d_k}{D_n} = \max_{0 \le k \le n} \frac{D_k}{D_n} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

the term multiplying M_p in the inequality tends to $\int_0^1 (|P(t)|^q dt)^{1/q}$. In view of (8), it follows that

$$|\mu(P)| \leq M_{\scriptscriptstyle D} ||P||_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}.$$

Thus μ is a bounded linear functional on a linear subspace of L_q . Hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem and the Riesz representation theorem for bounded linear functionals on L_q [2, pp. 64, 65], there is a function $\beta \in L_p$ such that, for every $P \in \Lambda$,

$$\mu(P) = \int_0^1 P(t)\beta(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \|\beta\|_p \le M_p.$$

In particular, taking $P(t) = t^{\lambda_n}$, we get that

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} \beta(t) dt \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Combining Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 we obtain:

THEOREM 2.

1978]

(i) $M_1 < \infty$ if and only if (1) is satisfied by a function $\alpha \in BV$.

(ii) For $1 , <math>M_p < \infty$ if and only if (2) is satisfied by a function $\beta \in L_p$.

The next two theorems give more precise information about $M_{\rm p}$.

THEOREM 3.

(i) If (1) is satisfied by a normalized function $\alpha \in BV$, then

(a)
$$M_1 = \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)|$$
 when $\lambda_0 = 0$,

(b)
$$M_1 = \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)| - |\alpha(0+)|$$
 when $\lambda_0 > 0$.

(ii) If $1 and (2) is satisfied by a function <math>\beta \in L_p$, then $M_p = \|\beta\|_p$.

Proof. Part (i). By Lemma 2(i), we have that $M_1 \le \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)| < \infty$. Hence by Theorem 1(i), there is a normalized function $\tilde{\alpha} \in BV$ such that $\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\tilde{\alpha}(t)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\int_0^1 |d\tilde{\alpha}(t)| \le M_1$.

If $\lambda_0 = 0$, then, by Lemma 3, $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(t)$ for $0 \le t \le 1$, and hence $M_1 = \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)|$.

Suppose that $\lambda_0 > 0$, and let $\gamma(0) = 0$, $\gamma(t) = \alpha(t) - \alpha(0+)$ for $0 < t \le 1$. Then $\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\gamma(t)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and hence, by Lemma 2(i), $M_1 \le \int_0^1 |d\gamma(t)|$. Further, by Lemma 3, $\gamma(t) = \tilde{\alpha}(t) - \tilde{\alpha}(0+)$ for $0 < t \le 1$, and so, since $\gamma(0+) = \gamma(0) = 0$, we have that $M_1 \le \int_0^1 |d\gamma(t)| \le \int_0^1 |d\tilde{\alpha}(t)| \le M_1$. Hence $M_1 = \int_0^1 |d\gamma(t)| = \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)| - |\alpha(0+)|$.

19787

Part (ii). By Lemma 2(ii), we have that $M_p \le ||\beta||_p < \infty$. Hence, by Theorem 1(ii), there is a function $\tilde{\beta} \in L_n$ such that $\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} \tilde{\beta}(t) dt$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ... and $\|\tilde{\beta}\|_p \le M_p$. By Lemma 3, $\int_0^t \beta(u) du = \int_0^t \tilde{\beta}(u) du$ for $0 \le t \le 1$, and hence $\beta(t) = 0$ $\tilde{\beta}(t)$ a.e. in (0, 1). It follows that $M_p \le ||\beta||_p = ||\tilde{\beta}||_p \le M_p$, so that $M_p = ||\beta||_p$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. If $1 \le p \le \infty$, then $M_{nn} \le M_{n,n+1}$ for $n \ge 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{nn} = M_n$.

Proof. Let $0 \le k \le n$. Then

$$\lambda_{n+1,k} = \lambda_{k+1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \lambda_{n+1} \frac{[\mu_k, \dots, \mu_n] - [\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{n+1}]}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_k}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_k} \lambda_{nk} - \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_k} \lambda_{n+1,k+1}$$

and hence

(8)
$$\lambda_{nk} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right) \lambda_{n+1,k} + \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}} \lambda_{n+1,k+1}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\lambda_{nk}}{d_k} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right) \frac{\lambda_{n+1,k}}{d_k} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} + \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right) \frac{\lambda_{n+1,k+1}}{d_{k+1}}$$

and hence that

$$M_{\infty,n} \le M_{\infty,n+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \right) \frac{D_n}{D_{n+1}} = M_{\infty,n+1}.$$

Finally, for $1 \le p < \infty$, application of Hölder's inequality to (8) yields that

$$D_n^{p-1} \left| \lambda_{nk} \right|^p d_k^{1-p} \leq \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{n+1}} \right) \left| \lambda_{n+1,k} \right|^p d_k^{1-p} + \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}} \left| \lambda_{n+1,k+1} \right|^p d_{k+1}^{1-p} \right\} D_{n+1}^{p-1},$$

since

$$1 - \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{n+1}} + \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}} \frac{d_{k+1}}{d_k} = 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} = \frac{D_{n+1}}{D_n}.$$

Summing the above inequality for k = 0, 1, ..., n, we get that

$$M_{pn}^p \le M_{p,n+1}^p - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_{n+1}} |\lambda_{n+1,0}|^p d_0^{1-p} D_{n+1}^{p-1} \le M_{p,n+1}^p.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

- 1. N. I. Akhiezer. The Classical Moment Problem, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- 2. S. Banach, Théories des Opérations Linéaires, Warsaw, 1932.

- 3. D. L. Berman, Application of interpolatory polynomial operators to solve the moment problem, Ukrain Math. Ž. 14 (1963), 184-190.
- 4. K. Endl, On systems of linear inequalities in infinitely many variables and generalized Hausdorff means, Math. Z. 82 (1963), 1-7.
- 5. F. Hausdorff, Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen I, Math. Z. 9 (1921), 74-109.
- 6. F. Hausdorff, Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen II, Math. Z. 9 (1921), 280-299.
- 7. F. Hausdorff, Momentprobleme für ein eindliches Interval, Math. Z. 16 (1923), 220-248.
- 8. T. H. Hildebrandt, On the moment problem for a finite interval, Bull. A.M.S. 38 (1932), 269-270.
- 9. D. Leviatan, A generalized moment problem, Israel J. Math. 5 (1967), 97-103.
- 10. D. Leviatan, Some moment problems in a finite interval, Can. J. Math. 20 (1968), 960-966.
- 11. W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, Second edition, McGraw-Hill, 1974.
- 12. I. J. Schoenberg, On finite rowed systems of linear inequalities in infinitely many variables, Trans. A.M.S. 34 (1932) 594-619.
- 13. J. A. Shohat and J. D. Tamarkin, The Problem of Moments, A.M.S., 1943.
- 14. D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton, 1946.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA NGA 5B9