1059

## ON FUNCTIONAL CESÀRO AND HÖLDER METHODS OF SUMMABILITY

D. BORWEIN AND B. L. R. SHAWYER

1. Cesàro and Hölder-type methods of summability. Suppose that f(x) is integrable L in every finite interval [0, X], and that  $\delta > 0$ . Define

$$f_{\delta}(x) = \{\Gamma(\delta)\}^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{\delta-1} f(t) dt$$
, and  $g(x) = e^{x} f(x)$ .

Definition. If  $\Gamma(\delta+1)x^{-\delta}f_{\delta}(x) \to \sigma$  as  $x \to \infty$ , then we say that the  $(C, \delta)$  limit of f(x) is  $\sigma$ , and write  $f(x) \to \sigma(C, \delta)$ .

Definition. If  $e^{-x}g_{\delta}(x) \to \sigma$  as  $x \to \infty$ , then we say that the  $(\hat{C}, \delta)$  limit of f(x) is  $\sigma$ , and write  $f(x) \to \sigma(\hat{C}, \delta)$ .

Note that  $(C, \delta)$  is the standard Cesàro method of summability, that

$$e^{-x}g_{\delta}(x) = \{\Gamma(\delta)\}^{-1}e^{-x}\int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{\delta-1}e^{t}f(t)dt$$
$$= \{\Gamma(\delta)\}^{-1}X^{-1}\int_{1}^{X}(\log X/T)^{\delta-1}f(\log T)dT,$$

and that this final integral is the functional Hölder transform of  $f(\log T)$ .

It is well known [4] that  $f(x) \to \sigma(C, \delta)$  if and only if  $f(e^x) \to \sigma(\hat{C}, \delta)$ . Our primary objective is to prove that if  $f(x) \to \sigma(\hat{C}, \delta)$  then  $f(x) \to \sigma(C, \delta)$ , and that there is a function whose  $(C, \delta)$  limit exists but whose  $(\hat{C}, \delta)$  limit does not exist.

We need two lemmas. The first is due to M. Riesz [3].

LEMMA 1. For x > t > 0 and  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\Gamma(1-\delta)\int_{0}^{t}(x-v)^{\delta-1}f(v)dv = \delta\int_{0}^{t}f_{\delta}(v)dv\int_{t}^{x}(x-w)^{\delta-1}(w-v)^{-\delta-1}dw.$$

Lemma 2. If  $0 < \delta \le 1$  and  $e^{-x} g_{\delta}(x) \to \sigma$  as  $x \to \infty$ , then

$$\delta x^{-\delta} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\delta-1} e^{-t} g(t) dt \to \sigma \quad as \ x \to \infty.$$

Received October 21, 1975.

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Using the result of Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{\delta-1} e^{-t} g(t) dt - \Gamma(\delta) e^{-x} g_{\delta}(x) 
= \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t} dt \int_{0}^{t} (x-u)^{\delta-1} g(u) du 
= \frac{\delta}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t} dt \int_{0}^{t} g_{\delta}(v) dv \int_{t}^{x} (x-w)^{\delta-1} (w-v)^{-\delta-1} dw 
= \frac{\delta}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-v} g_{\delta}(v) dv \int_{v}^{x} (x-w)^{\delta-1} (w-v)^{-\delta-1} dw \int_{v}^{w} e^{-(t-v)} dt 
= \int_{0}^{x} J(x-v) e^{-v} g_{\delta}(v) dv, \text{ where} 
J(y) = \frac{\delta}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \int_{0}^{y} (y-u)^{\delta-1} u^{-\delta-1} (1-e^{-u}) du.$$

It now suffices to show that

$$\delta x^{-\delta} \int_0^x J(x-v) \, \phi(v) dv \to \sigma$$

whenever  $\phi(x)$  is integrable L in every finite interval [0, X] and tends to  $\sigma$  as  $x \to \infty$ . This is true since (see, for example [2, Theorem 6])

$$\delta x^{-\delta} \int_0^x J(x-v) dv = \frac{\delta}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} x^{-\delta} \int_0^x (x-u)^{\delta} u^{-\delta-1} (1-e^{-u}) du$$
$$\to \frac{\delta}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \int_0^\infty u^{-\delta-1} (1-e^{-u}) du = 1 \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

and since, for each fixed y > 0,

$$\delta x^{-\delta} \int_0^y J(x-v) dv \to 0 \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

When  $\delta = 1$ , we have

$$x^{-1} \int_0^x e^{-t} g(t) dt - x^{-1} e^{-x} g_1(x) = x^{-1} \int_0^x e^{-t} g_1(t) dt,$$

and the desired result now follows from the regularity of the (C, 1) method.

We now prove two theorems which show the relation between the methods  $(\hat{C}, \alpha)$  and  $(C, \alpha)$ .

THEOREM 1. For  $\alpha > 0$ , if  $f(x) \to \sigma(\hat{C}, \alpha)$  then  $f(x) \to \sigma(C, \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ . Since

$$\Gamma(\alpha)f_{\alpha}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{\alpha-1}e^{-t}g(t)dt,$$

the result follows from Lemma 2.

Now suppose that  $\alpha > 1$ . Set  $\alpha = k + \delta$  where  $0 < \delta \le 1$  and  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Integration by parts k times yields

D. BORWEIN AND B. L. R. SHAWYER

$$\Gamma(\alpha)f_{\alpha}(x) = (-1)^{k} \int_{0}^{x} g_{k}(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k} [e^{-t}(x-t)^{\alpha-1}] dt$$

$$= a_{0} \int_{0}^{x} g_{k}(t) e^{-t}(x-t)^{\delta-1} dt + \sum_{\tau=1}^{k} a_{\tau} \int_{0}^{x} g_{k}(t) e^{-t}(x-t)^{\delta-1+\tau} dt$$

$$= a_{0} \int_{0}^{x} g_{k}(t) e^{-t}(x-t)^{\delta-1} dt + \sum_{\tau=0}^{k-1} b_{\tau} \int_{0}^{x} g_{k+1}(t) e^{-t}(x-t)^{\delta-1+\tau} dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{x} g_{k+1}(t) e^{-t}(x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt,$$

where the  $a_r$  and  $b_r$  are constants.

By assumption,  $e^{-x}g_{\alpha}(x) \to \sigma$  as  $x \to \infty$ , and so since  $k+1 \ge \alpha$ , it is easy to show that  $e^{-x}g_{k+1}(x) \to \sigma$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Thus using Lemma 1 for the term involving  $a_0$  and the regularity of the Cesàro methods for the other terms, it follows that  $\Gamma(\alpha+1)x^{-\alpha}f_{\alpha}(x)\to\sigma$  as  $x\to\infty$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. For  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $e^{ix} \to 0$   $(C, \alpha)$ , but the  $(\hat{C}, \alpha)$  limit of  $e^{ix}$  does not exist.

Proof. By the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem.

$$x^{-\alpha} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} e^{it} dt = \int_0^1 (1-u)^{\alpha-1} e^{iux} du \to 0 \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

so that  $e^{ix} \to 0(C, \alpha)$ . On the other hand.

$$e^{-x} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} e^{t} e^{it} dt = e^{ix} \int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t(1+i)} dt$$
$$= e^{ix} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t(1+i)} dt + o(1) = \frac{e^{ix} \Gamma(\alpha)}{(1+i)^{\alpha}} + o(1),$$

which does not tend to a limit as  $x \to \infty$ ; that is, the  $(\hat{C}, \alpha)$  limit of  $e^{ix}$  does not exist.

2. Application to the Borel-type methods of summability. Suppose that  $\lambda > 0$ , that  $\mu$  is real and that N is a non-negative integer greater than  $-\mu/\lambda$ . Let  $\rho$ ,  $s_n$  (n = 0, 1, ...) be complex numbers. Define

$$S_{\lambda,\mu}(x) = \lambda e^{-x} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{\lambda n + \mu - 1}}{\Gamma(\lambda n + \mu)}.$$

Definition [1]. If  $S_{\lambda,\mu}(x) \to \rho$  as  $x \to \infty$ , then we say that the  $(B, \lambda, \mu)$  limit of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is  $\rho$ , and writes  $s_n \to \rho(B, \lambda, \mu)$ .

The following two theorems are known.

THEOREM 3 [5]. The  $(\hat{C}, \alpha)$   $(B, \lambda, \mu)$  transform of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is equal to the  $(B, \lambda, \mu + \delta)$  transform of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$ ; that is

$$e^{-x}\int_0^x (x-t)^{\delta-1}e^t S_{\lambda,\mu}(t)dt = S_{\lambda,\mu+\delta}(x).$$

From this it follows that  $s_n \to \rho(\hat{C}, \delta)$   $(B, \lambda, \mu)$  if and only if  $s_n \to \rho(B, \lambda, \mu + \delta)$ .

THEOREM 4. If  $s_n \to \rho(B, \lambda, \mu)$  then  $s_n \to \rho(C, \delta)$   $(B, \lambda, \mu)$ .

This is trivial since  $(C, \delta)$  is a regular method. See also [6].

The following theorem, which follows immediately from Theorem 3 and the results of §1, extends Theorem 4.

THEOREM 5. (i) If  $s_n \to \rho(B, \lambda, \mu + \delta)$  then  $s_n \to \rho(C, \delta)$   $(B, \lambda, \mu)$ ;

(ii) There is a sequence whose  $(C, \delta)$   $(B, \lambda, \mu)$  limit exists but whose  $(B, \lambda, \mu + \delta)$  limit does not exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. Borwein, On methods of summability based on integral functions, II, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 56 (1960), 125-131.
- 2. G. H. Hardy, Divergent series (Oxford, 1949).
- 3. M. Riesz, Sur un théorème de la moyenne et ses applications, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 1 (1923),
- 4. W. W. Rogosinski, On Hausdorff's methods of summability, II, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 38 (1942), 344-363.
- 5. B. L. R. Shawyer, Iteration products of methods of summability and natural scales, Manuscripta Math. 13 (1974), 355-364.
- 6. On the product of the Cesàro and Borel-type methods of summability, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 7 (1973), 111-116.

The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario